Deep learning x.y. Denoising Diffusion

François Fleuret

https://fleuret.org/dlc/



The denoising diffusion (Ho et al., 2020) is a generative model that consists in (1) generating data with a diffusion process, and (2) training a stochastic denoising auto-encoder to reverse it.



The synthesis starts by sampling a random signal corresponding to the limit of the diffusion process, and iterates the denoising auto-encoder for the same number of iterations.

Given a data-set $\mathscr{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$, and

$$0 < \beta_t < 1, t = 1, \ldots, T,$$

let q be a distribution over $\mathbb{R}^{D \times T}$ of a "diffusion process", defined as

$$egin{aligned} & \mathbf{x}_0 \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}) \ & \forall t = 1, \dots, T, \ \epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \ & \mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{1 - eta_t} \ \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{eta_t} \ \epsilon_t \end{aligned}$$

with x_0 and the ϵ_t s independent.

Given a data-set $\mathscr{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$, and

$$0<\beta_t<1, t=1,\ldots,T,$$

let q be a distribution over $\mathbb{R}^{D \times T}$ of a "diffusion process", defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0 \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}) \\ \forall t = 1, \dots, T, \ \epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}) \\ & x_t = \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \, x_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta_t} \, \epsilon_t \end{aligned}$$

with x_0 and the ϵ_t s independent.

The re-scaling factors are such that if $\mathbb{E}[x_0] = 0$ and $\mathbb{V}[x_0] = I$, we have

$$\forall t = 0, \ldots T, \ \mathbb{E}[x_t] = 0, \mathbb{V}[x_t] = \mathbf{I}.$$





Thanks to the independence of the successive steps, and since a sum of independent Gaussians is Gaussian, we can sample directly $x_t \mid x_0$ for any t.

If we define

$$\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$$
$$\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{s=1}^t \alpha_s$$

we have

$$\forall t > 0, \ q(x_t \mid x_0) = \mathcal{N}\left(x_t; \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} x_0, (1 - \bar{\alpha}_t) \mathbf{I}\right).$$

This shows in particular that even with small β_t , for T large enough, the distribution $x_T \mid x_0$ is $\simeq \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ and does not depend on the data distribution

Let p_{θ} be the distribution of the denoising process, which is Markovian too

I

$$\begin{split} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) &= \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t:T}) \\ &= \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}). \end{split}$$

Let p_{θ} be the distribution of the denoising process, which is Markovian too

I

$$\begin{split} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) &= \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t:T}) \\ &= \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}). \end{split}$$

If we are interested by synthesis of clean samples, given training samples z_1, \ldots, z_N , we want to minimize

$$-\log\prod_{n=1}^{N}p_{\theta}(z_n)=N\,\bar{\mathbb{E}}_{x_{0:T}\sim q}\left[-\log p_{\theta}(x_0)\right].$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[-\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0} \sim q} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \sim q \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}} \left[\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}} \left[\log q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[\log q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[-\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[-\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t})}{\prod_{t=1}^{T} q(\mathbf{x}_{t} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1})} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) - \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1})} \right] \end{split}$$

So we get

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q}\left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0})\right] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q}\left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) - \sum_{t=1}^{T}\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1})}\right],$$

if $p_{\theta}(x_T)$ is a fixed distribution that does not depend on θ , we have to minimize

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{x}_{0:T} \sim q}\left[-\sum_{t=1}^{T}\log p_{\theta}(\mathsf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathsf{x}_{t})\right],$$

hence train a model that approximates the reverse Markov process.

So we get

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{x}_{0:T} \sim q}\left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathsf{x}_{0})\right] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{x}_{0:T} \sim q}\left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathsf{x}_{T}) - \sum_{t=1}^{T}\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathsf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathsf{x}_{t})}{q(\mathsf{x}_{t} \mid \mathsf{x}_{t-1})}\right],$$

if $p_{\theta}(x_T)$ is a fixed distribution that does not depend on θ , we have to minimize

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{x}_{0:T} \sim q}\left[-\sum_{t=1}^{T}\log p_{\theta}(\mathsf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathsf{x}_{t})\right],$$

hence train a model that approximates the reverse Markov process.

We can take

$$p_{\theta}(x_{t-1} \mid x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t), \beta_t \mathbf{I}).$$

But we can take advantage of the Gaussian distributions, in particular that:

• we can sample $q(x_0, x_t)$,

But we can take advantage of the Gaussian distributions, in particular that:

- we can sample $q(x_0, x_t)$,
- the distribution of $q(x_{t-1} \mid x_0, x_t)$ is a Gaussian

$$q(x_{t-1} \mid x_0, x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \tilde{\mu}_t(x_t, x_0), \tilde{\beta}_t \mathbf{I}),$$

with

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mu}_t(\mathsf{x}_t,\mathsf{x}_0) &= \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\,\beta_t}{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}\mathsf{x}_0 + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_t}\,(1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1})}{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}\mathsf{x}_t\\ \tilde{\beta}_t &= \frac{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}\beta_t, \end{split}$$

But we can take advantage of the Gaussian distributions, in particular that:

- we can sample $q(x_0, x_t)$,
- the distribution of $q(x_{t-1} \mid x_0, x_t)$ is a Gaussian

$$q(x_{t-1} \mid x_0, x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \tilde{\mu}_t(x_t, x_0), \tilde{\beta}_t \mathbf{I}),$$

with

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mu}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) &= \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}} \, \beta_t}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_t} \left(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}\right)}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_t \\ \tilde{\beta}_t &= \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \beta_t, \end{split}$$

• given two Gaussians μ and μ' , their cross-entropy

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu,\mu') = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} \left[-\log \mu'(x) \right]$$

has a closed form.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[-\sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}) \right] \\ &= -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0:T} \sim q} \left[\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}) \right] \\ &= -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{t} \sim q} \left[\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}) \right] \\ &= -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{t} \sim q} \left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \sim q \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{t}} \left[\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}) \right] \right] \\ &= -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{t} \sim q} \left[\mathbb{H}(q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{t}), p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{2\sigma_{t}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{t} \sim q} \left[\|\tilde{\mu}_{t}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{x}_{0}) - \mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t) \|^{2} \right] + \mathrm{est} \end{split}$$

Ho et al. (2020) re-parametrize

$$\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}} \, \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \right)$$

Following the setup of Ho et al. (2020), we have

$$\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$$
$$\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{s=1}^t \alpha_s$$
$$\sigma_t = \sqrt{\beta_t}$$

```
T = 1000
beta = torch.linspace(1e-4, 0.02, T, device = device)
alpha = 1 - beta
alpha_bar = alpha.log().cumsum(0).exp()
sigma = beta.sqrt()
```

Algorithm 1 Training

```
1: repeat

2: \mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)

3: t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \dots, T\})

4: \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})

5: Take gradient descent step on

\nabla_{\theta} \| \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\sqrt{\alpha_t}\mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t) \|^2

6: until converged
```

(Ho et al., 2020)

```
for k in range(args.nb_epochs):
```

```
optimizer = torch.optim.Adam(model.parameters(), lr = args.learning_rate)
```

```
for x0 in train_input.split(args.batch_size):
    x0 = (x0 - train_mean) / train_std
    t = torch.randint(T, (x0.size(0),) + (1,) * (x0.dim() - 1), device = x0.device)
    eps = torch.randn_like(x0)
    xt = torch.sqrt(alpha_bar[t]) * x0 + torch.sqrt(1 - alpha_bar[t]) * eps
    output = model((xt, t / (T - 1) - 0.5))
    loss = (eps - output).pow(2).mean()
    optimizer.zero_grad()
    loss.backward()
    optimizer.step()
```

Algorithm 2 Sampling

```
\begin{array}{ll} 1: \ \mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I}) \\ 2: \ \mathbf{for} \ t = T, \ldots, 1 \ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{o} \\ 3: \ \mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I}) \ \mathrm{if} \ t > 1, \ \mathrm{else} \ \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0} \\ 4: \ \mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left( \mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\alpha_t}} \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \right) + \sigma_t \mathbf{z} \\ 5: \ \mathrm{end} \ \mathbf{for} \\ 6: \ \mathrm{return} \ \mathbf{x}_0 \end{array}
```

(Ho et al., 2020)

```
def generate(size, T, alpha, alpha_bar, sigma, model, train_mean, train_std):
    with torch.no_grad():
        x = torch.randn(size, device = device)
        for t in range(T-1, -1, -1):
            output = model((x, t / (T - 1) - 0.5))
            z = torch.zeros_like(x) if t == 0 else torch.randn_like(x)
            x = 1/torch.sqrt(alpha[t]) \
            * (x - (1-alpha[t]) / torch.sqrt(1-alpha_bar[t]) * output) \
            + sigma[t] * z
        x = x * train_std + train_mean
        return x
```

```
class TimeAppender(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self):
        super().__init__()
    def forward(self, u):
        x, t = u
        if not torch.is_tensor(t):
            t = x.new_full((x.size(0),), t)
        t = t.view((-1,) + (1,) * (x.dim() - 1)).expand_as(x[:,:1])
        return torch.cat((x, t), 1)
```

```
nh = 256
model = nn.Sequential(
    TimeAppender(),
    nn.Linear(train.input.size(1) + 1, nh),
    nn.ReLU(),
    nn.Linear(nh, nh),
    nn.ReLU(),
    nn.Linear(nh, nh),
    nn.ReLU(),
    nn.Linear(nh, train_input.size(1)),
)
```





```
ks. nc = 5.64
model = nn.Sequential(
   TimeAppender(),
    nn.Conv2d(train input.size(1) + 1, nc, ks, padding = ks//2).
   nn.ReLU().
    nn.Conv2d(nc, nc, ks, padding = ks//2),
   nn.ReLU(),
    nn.Conv2d(nc, nc, ks, padding = ks//2),
    nn.ReLU().
    nn.Conv2d(nc, nc, ks, padding = ks//2),
    nn.ReLU().
    nn.Conv2d(nc, nc, ks, padding = ks//2).
   nn.ReLU(),
    nn.Conv2d(nc, nc, ks, padding = ks//2).
   nn.ReLU(),
    nn.Conv2d(nc, train_input.size(1), ks, padding = ks//2),
)
```

Generated samples

ようかくる だと てにくるび 62 25 84286159 トコイスダダー ちちんかな

"Stable diffusion"



(Rombach et al., 2021)



Figure 4. Samples from LDMs trained on CelebAHQ [39], FFHQ [41], LSUN-Churches [102], LSUN-Bedrooms [102] and classconditional ImageNet [12], each with a resolution of 256 × 256. Best viewed when zoomed in. For more samples cf. the supplement.

(Rombach et al., 2021)



Figure 5. Samples for user-defined text prompts from our model for text-to-image synthesis, *LDM-8 (KL)*, which was trained on the LAION [78] database. Samples generated with 200 DDIM steps and $\eta = 1.0$. We use unconditional guidance [32] with s = 10.0.

(Rombach et al., 2021)





"photography of a real car made of pizzas ; very detailed, focused, beautiful light"

"still from studio ghibli movie 'the red bus in Paris '; 8 k ; very detailed, focused, colorful, trending on artstation"





"An enormous artificial intelligence in Geneva at dawn"

The End

References

- J. Ho, A. Jain, and P. Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. <u>CoRR</u>, abs/2006.11239, 2020.
- R. Rombach, A. Blattmann, D. Lorenz, P. Esser, and B. Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. CoRR, abs/2112.10752, 2021.